

Public Assembly

Use of Public Space/Free Speech for WTCS Colleges





Tinker v. Des Moines Independent Community School District (1969)

A. "The university is a marketplace of ideas and it cannot be argued that either students or teachers shed their constitutional rights to freedom of speech or expression at the schoolhouse gate."





Tests regarding legality of free speech policies

- A. What type of forum is the public university?
- B. Is each university's free speech policy narrowly tailored to serve a legitimate governmental/regulatory interest?
- C. Is the policy over broad?
- D. Is the policy content neutral?





How to identify the various forums?

- A. The traditional public forum
- B. The designated public forum
- C. The limited public forum
- D. The non-public forum





Why does the determination of non-public or limited public forum matter?

- A. If limited public forum, the courts apply the reasonableness standard to the university's free speech policy
- B. Is the policy narrowly tailored to meet a legitimate government interest?
- C. Does it provide an adequate alternative for protected expressive activity?





Restrictive Policy Minimum Requirements

- A. Be narrowly tailored to meet a significant government interest
- B. Be content neutral
- C. Must leave open ample channels of communication





Define "narrowly tailored"

- A. The government's policy must not burden more speech than necessary in furthering its legitimate interest
- B. Federal courts have stricken "free speech zones" or designated areas where first amendment freedoms are guaranteed in public regions
- C. If the size of a free speech zone on a university campus is undersized or unreasonably located, then free speech may be unreasonably subdued as free speech may not be equally protected in non-free speech zones of the same public region





What is policy content neutrality?

- A. It requires that the policy restricting expressive activity must do so without primary regard to the view point
- B. Evenhandedness that applies to all individuals without regard to the content of their message
- C. The policy fails the content neutral test if it grants an inordinate amount of discretion to the administrators





Alternate channels of communication

- A. In re-assigning a space, time, or manner, how much has the size of the audience been reduced?
- B. Has the restriction substantially limited the types of audiences to be address?





Free Speech Exceptions

- A. True threats
- B. Inciting or producing an imminent lawless action
- C. Fighting words (generally ineffective)
- D. Obscenity
- E. Harassment
- F. Libel and defamation
- G. Disruption of the educational environment





Model Policies

- A. It is critical for colleges to envision their common areas as traditional public forums for its students, faculty, and staff (or generally consider these designated public forums)
- B. Policies may come in a variety of settings, but careful drafting is crucial:
 - 1. Conduct or Civility/Harassment
 - 2. Computer/Internet Usage
 - 3. Posting Restrictions
 - 4. Political Activities
 - 5. Facility Use and Third-Party Use
 - a. After Hours Restrictions
 - 6. Noise "Ordinance"
 - 7. Free Expression / Demonstration
 - Counter-Movements





Permitting

- A. Use of campus policy with a limit on sound amplification is a permissible limit on speech.
- B. Spears v. Ariz. Bd. of Regents (D. Ariz. 3/6/19)
 - 1. Plaintiff attended a festival at Univ. of Ariz. and used sound amplification device to preach the Gospel.
 - Univ. requested he turn off the microphone because he did not have a permit to emit amplified sounds.
 - 3. When Plaintiff refused, he was eventually arrested.
 - 4. Court found the Univ.'s property to be a limited public forum and that the ban and arrest were due to sound violation not speech.





Security Costs

- A. Security fees can be assessed as a function of permission to use a building.
- B. Imposition of the fees must be facially neutral.
 - Factors may include the size of the group.
 - 2. Note, this is a target issue of 1st Amendment groups.





Sponsor Requirements

- A. Courts generally uphold requirements that outside speakers obtain student sponsors before speaking on campus.
- B. Requires, however, that the requirements be:
 - A. Content neutral; and
 - B. Justified.
- C. Note, Courts have struck down policies requiring speakers to publicly disclose their identities because First amendment protects anonymous speech.





Notice Periods

A. Courts have upheld requirement to have prior notice of the activity in advance.





Limits on Duration or Frequency

- A. Courts generally uphold limits on duration and frequency of speech.
 - 1. The particular limit must be justified by competing uses of the property at issue.
 - Requires careful analysis
 - a. <u>Bloedorn v. Grube</u> (11th Cir.) (upheld limit of 90 minutes no more than once a month)
 - b. <u>Bowman v. White</u> (8th Cir.) (struck down cap of 5 eighthour days of public speaking per individual per semester)
- B. Hours restrictions for buildings





Barring Use of Designated Area at Particular Times

A. Careful application, but courts have upheld limitations in designated forums during examination periods.





Executive Order (Mar. 21, 2019)

- A. Directs 12 agencies in charge of federal grants to ensure colleges are complying with the law and their own policies to promote free inquiry and debate.
- B. Applies to federal research and education grants, but not to student aid.
- C. Agency implementation is unknown.
 - 1. Will they rely on case law or create new interpretations?





Situation Discussion

- A. Online Speech
- B. Outside Speaker
- C. Employee Speech
 - 1. Citizen or employee hat?
 - Is it within the scope of duties?
 - Matter of public concern?
 - Adequate justification for disparate treatment?
- D. Occupation of President's Office
 - Response Plan?





Questions?





Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 100 E Wisconsin Ave, Ste 3300 Milwaukee, WI 53202



Kirk A. Pelikan kapelikan@michaelbest.com 414-223-2529



Kevin J.T. Terry kterry@michaelbest.com 414-270-2734





Thank you!



DISTRICTS MUTUAL INSURANCE & RISK MANAGEMENT SERVICES

Collaborators in Risk Management |